For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	ROGER VIET, No. C-09-4175 TEH (PR)
12	Petitioner,
13	v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14	M. MARTEL, Warden,
15	Respondent.
16	/
17	

Petitioner, a state prisoner incarcerated at Mule Creek State Prison in Ione, California, has filed a pro se Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging a judgment of conviction from Santa Clara County Superior Court. Petitioner has paid the \$5.00 filing fee.

I

years to life in state prison following his convictions for various

In May 2007, Petitioner was sentenced to twenty-three

23

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

28

27

sex crimes against his daughter. On March 23, 2009, the California Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in an unpublished opinion.

For the Northern District of California

People v. Viet, No. H031704, 2009 WL 738871 (Cal. Ct. App. Mar. 23, 2009). On July 8, 2009, the California Supreme Court denied his Petition for Review. Id. On September 9, 2009, Petitioner filed the instant federal Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus. Doc. #1.

ΙI

This Court may entertain a Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." U.S.C. § 2254(a). It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." Id. § 2243.

Petitioner seeks federal habeas corpus relief by alleging various claims, including a denial of his right to due process because of both jury instruction error and insufficient evidence to support his convictions. Doc. #1 at 5-6. Liberally construed, Petitioner's claims appear cognizable under § 2254 and merit an Answer from Respondent. See Zichko v. Idaho, 247 F.3d 1015, 1020 (9th Cir. 2001) (federal courts must construe pro se petitions for writs of habeas corpus liberally).

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this Order and the Petition, and all attachments thereto, on

III

Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the

Petitioner, within sixty days of the issuance of this Order, an

Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing

The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this

Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on

2 3

State of California.

2.

Order on Petitioner.

1

4

5

6 7

9

8

1011

12

1314

15

17

16

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2728

Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a Writ of Habeas Corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the Answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the Petition.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do

so by filing a Traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within thirty days of his receipt of the Answer.

3. In lieu of an Answer, Respondent may file a Motion to Dismiss on procedural grounds, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an Opposition or Statement of Non-Opposition within thirty days of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a Reply within fifteen days of receipt of any Opposition.

//

//

//

⁷ //

Case 3:09-cv-04175-TEH Document 2 Filed 10/02/09 Page 4 of 4

4. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court must be served on Respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner also must keep the Court and all parties informed of any change of address.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED <u>10/01/09</u>

THELTON E. HENDERSON United States District Judge

Hell of anders

G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.09\Viet-09-4175-osc.wpd